

Navigating the Complexity: Nonprofit Transaction Approvals



© 2018 PYA

No portion of this white paper may be used or duplicated by any person or entity for any purpose without the express written permission of PYA.





In response to a myriad of factors, healthcare providers continue to align vertically and horizontally using traditional and innovative structures designed to improve quality, increase efficiency, and lower the cost of care. These alignments often take the form of mergers, acquisitions, joint ventures, or other affiliation structures (hereafter, "consolidations") that implicate various state laws. For example, many states have laws requiring that the parties to such consolidations obtain the state attorney general's consent to the transaction.¹

Consolidations of healthcare providers may be accomplished through a number of arrangements that require unique considerations and analysis beyond traditional valuation methods. In addition, the healthcare industry's current evolution from a volume-based, fee-for-service payment system to a value-based payment system is altering valuation metrics, and creating potential opportunities and pitfalls that did not exist in the past payment landscape.

As a result, healthcare providers, their boards of directors, and their counsel increasingly require the assistance of advisors well-versed in healthcare transactions and healthcare reform, and who understand what regulatory oversight bodies will expect from the advisor's analysis. In addition to traditional valuation principles, experts advising parties and regulators regarding a healthcare consolidation must be equipped to assess the consolidation's benefit to the community in terms of quantifiable economic impacts; implications for local hospital governance; and impact on quality of, access to, and cost of care.

Furthermore, consolidations may require knowledgeable advisors to conduct posttransaction monitoring or auditing to assess adherence to the terms of the consolidation. Such advisors must have a unique blend of expertise in the regulatory, financial, business, clinical, and data analytical aspects of the healthcare industry—including an understanding of new payment models and their impact on delivery of healthcare.

This white paper will address some of the state regulatory parameters that may impact consolidations and the analysis and expertise required to ensure that consolidations benefit communities and improve quality and efficiency, while controlling the cost of healthcare.

¹ More than 20 states have statutes that specifically govern transfers of nonprofit hospital system assets. Office of Legislative Research, *Nonprofit Hospital Conversion Laws in Other States*, September 30, 2014. <u>http://www.cga.ct.gov/2014/rpt/pdf/2014-R-0229.pdf</u>, accessed November 11, 2014.



Attorney General's Consent to the Transaction

Many states have laws authorizing the state's attorney general to review and act in a manner necessary to protect charitable assets within the state.² Some states have statutes specifically directed at nonprofit healthcare providers, and those statutes typically apply to conversions from nonprofit to for-profit status. However, regulatory review of transactions involving one or more nonprofit organizations is common. Typically, these statutes require parties to a proposed sale or merger of such providers to first give notice to, and obtain the consent of, the state's attorney general.³

For example, Georgia's Hospital Acquisition Act,⁴ requires the parties to give notice to the attorney general and obtain his or her review of, and consent to, nonprofit hospital acquisitions occurring in the state of Georgia. The form of the notice is prescribed by statute and requires significant documentation, including a valuation of the hospital or its assets. The seller and the attorney general must each engage an expert.⁵ The seller's expert must prepare a financial and economic analysis, including whether the seller will "receive fair value for its assets" or, in the case of a disposition to a not-for-profit entity, whether the seller "will receive an enforceable commitment for fair and reasonable community benefits for its assets." Likewise, Georgia's attorney general must engage an expert to review the reports of the parties' experts and testify at a public hearing.

Based on the notice filing and the experts' reports, the attorney general must determine whether the proposed disposition of the nonprofit hospital's assets is "in the public interest"—that is, whether the transaction is authorized, whether the value of the charitable assets is safeguarded, and whether any proceeds of the transaction are used for appropriate charitable healthcare purposes. In essence, the attorney general must determine whether there will be sufficient and appropriate community benefit from the transaction.



² See, e.g., Michigan Nonprofit Corporation Act, MCL 450.2301; Michigan Supervision of Trustees for Charitable Purposes Act, MCL 14.251.

³ See, e.g., California Corporation Code §§ 5914-5925; Title 11 California Code of Regulations § 999.5.

⁴O.C.G.A. §31-7-406 (2016).

⁵ In practice, this expert is often jointly engaged by the seller and buyer.



The extensive analysis and findings * required by the Georgia statute illustrate the depth and breadth of expertise required of the parties' consultants to obtain approval of a nonprofit hospital acquisition. Many healthcare transactions are not straightforward acquisitions, especially when the transaction is between two nonprofit entities. Member substitutions, mergers, joint operating agreements, leases, and other potential organizational structures often require approaches beyond the traditional fair market value analysis. Other considerations to determine benefit to the community are outlined hereafter.

Parties' motivation.

The business case for aligning two organizations must be considered when determining the community benefit. When transactions include an urban ⁴ tertiary referral center and a small community hospital, the urban center will often commit to maintaining certain services in the community and providing access to capital. In these scenarios, urban organizations have determined that a capital investment in a rural facility to keep patients in the community is more efficient than expanding capacity in an urban setting. In other cases, allocating overhead expenses from urban centers to rural critical access hospitals can result in a collective financial benefit for the combined organization. Understanding and articulating the "win-win" for both parties to the transaction can illustrate to the attorney general that the parties are aligning for the community's benefit.

Assumed liabilities.

Member substitution arrangements, where a health system (Buyer) assumes the assets and liabilities of an organization (Seller), are becoming more typical. If the Buyer has a better credit rating than the Seller, the Buyer's participation may enable the Seller to restructure debt and payment terms on other liabilities to reduce interest expense and improve cash flow.

In certain lease arrangements, the lessee will make lease payments to the lessor equivalent to the debt services owed by the lessor. The willingness to assume these liabilities can provide a significant benefit to the community, the value of which should be included in the analysis of the transaction.

Investment to cover on-going losses.

In dire financial situations, a health system may choose to extend a line of credit to a struggling hospital or health system to keep the hospital open and providing services to the community. While the line of credit is often secured by an unencumbered asset of the struggling organization (if available), the willingness to assume the risk of loaning funds to provide working capital to a struggling hospital indicates the value the health system places on the organization. The community benefits from such an extension of credit--not only from having access to healthcare, but also from the economic impact of the hospital's continuing operations.

Value of continuing operations.

Community hospitals are usually one of the largest employers in the community. When a transaction provides security to a struggling community hospital, the economic benefit of keeping the hospital in the community should be considered. Organizations such as the American Hospital Association (AHA) conduct studies to demonstrate hospitals' economic impact on communities based on the number of employees and other operating expenses borne by the hospitals. These studies can be used to estimate the value of a hospital's operations on the community's economy.

Benefits from capital expenditures.

When two nonprofit organizations align through a structure other than acquisition, one party will often commit to funding a predetermined capital investment. These projects are frequently related to new or renovated buildings and information technology improvements. Capital used for construction may result in an economic earnings multiple well above the cost of construction. The benefit to the community of these capital expenditures can be estimated using Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) data.

Specific Contractual Terms.

Contract terms such as transfer provisions and rights of first refusal must be evaluated to determine how they impact the community and its charitable assets. In lease arrangements, transfer provisions must be carefully assessed to determine if the community is receiving sufficient value for the transferred asset. Rights of first refusal can benefit a community if they allow the community to exert control regarding the selection of potential future buyers. The value of this control should be included in the assessment of community benefit.

Retained local governance.

Despite the many technological advances such as telehealth and remote patient monitoring, healthcare remains, for the most part, a local endeavor. Patients in the community often have many ties to their local hospital and support it with their patronage and donations. In addition, nonprofit healthcare providers are typically governed by a board of community leaders, which further enforces community loyalty to the nonprofit provider. Thus, the potential impact of a change in local governance must be considered when valuing a proposed consolidation.

Assessing the community benefit of a nonprofit hospital acquisition requires capabilities and experience beyond a traditional fair market value determination. It requires financial, economic, regulatory, clinical, and market analysis expertise. As the healthcare industry evolves, it becomes ever more critical that the parties to nonprofit consolidations, and the regulators that oversee them, retain advisors with expertise specific to the healthcare industry.

Specific Investment to **Benefits** Value of Retained Parties' Assumed from Capital Contractual Cover On-Going Continuina Local Motivation Liabilities Losses Operations Expenditures Terms Governance



Even after a consolidation is approved, the parties will likely need expert assistance to monitor and assure continued compliance with the terms of the consolidation. For example, the Georgia Hospital Acquisition Act gives the attorney general "authority to ensure compliance with any and all notices, certifications, obligations, and commitments which are required to be made in connection with a transaction [under the Act]" and allows the attorney general to "institute proceedings to enforce such compliance" in state court.⁶ Thus, it is incumbent upon the parties to audit and maintain compliance with the agreed-upon terms of the consolidation to assure that it continues to benefit the community. Failure to do so can result in expensive and time-consuming litigation down the road.



0

Conclusion

In short, statutory protections for nonprofit healthcare providers have long required expert analysis and opinion. However, the current environment of rapid change in the healthcare industry now, more than ever, requires a deeper and broader expertise. This expertise must include not only traditional valuation principles, but also an understanding of the evolving payment and delivery models and the clinical, organizational, operational, and technological resources that will be required for healthcare providers to remain viable and continue to provide benefit to their communities.

⁶O.C.G.A. §31-7-407.



PYA is a certified public accounting and healthcare consulting firm that provides timely insight and strategic direction, helping our clients thrive in the midst of rapid change. For more than three decades, we have provided clients with world-class support, delivering comprehensive services in valuation, accounting, and compliance and cutting-edge insights and assistance to healthcare providers seeking to understand and prepare for healthcare payment and delivery reform.

PYA is well-versed in the complex business and regulatory environment of the healthcare industry. As such, we have a unique understanding of the issues surrounding consolidations of nonprofit providers and the ability to assess the community benefit of the proposed transaction-even in the rapidly changing environment of healthcare payment and delivery. Whether you are: (1) counsel for a healthcare provider seeking to acquire a nonprofit hospital, or (2) a state agency seeking expert review, auditing, or monitoring of such transactions, PYA's professionals can assist with valuation, strategic planning, organizational structuring, financial analysis, economic impact analysis, data analysis, auditing, and monitoring.

To discuss how PYA can assist you, please contact one of the following:

David McMillan dmcmillan@pyapc.com Michael Ramey mramey@pyapc.com

All may be reached at (800) 270.9629.

No portion of this white paper may be used or duplicated by any person or entity for any purpose without the express written permission of PYA.